

Assignment 10—solutions

Exercise 1

We fix a standard one-dimensional (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -Brownian motion.

- 1) Show that for any $C^{1,2}$ function $f : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that there exists some continuous function $C : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ with

$$|\partial_x f(t, x)| \leq C(t)e^{C(t)|x|}, \quad (t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (0.1)$$

the process $(f(t, B_t))_{t \geq 0}$ will be an (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale if and only if

$$\partial_t f(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 f(t, x) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (0.2)$$

- 2) in this question, we are looking for functions f of the form

$$f(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n a_{i,j} t^i x^j, \quad (t, x) \in [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R},$$

for some integer n and real numbers $(a_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \{0, \dots, n\}^2}$. Show that the process $f(t, B_t)$ is an (\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}) -martingale if and only if the $(a_{0,j})_{j \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$ are arbitrarily fixed and

$$\begin{cases} a_{i,j} = (-1)^i \frac{(j+2i)!}{2^i i! j!} a_{0,j+2i}, & j+2i \leq n, \\ a_{i,j} = 0, & j+2i > n, \end{cases}$$

- 1) Indeed, by Itô's formula the Itô process $(f(t, B_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an $(\mathbb{F}^{B, \mathbb{P}}, \mathbb{P})$ -local martingale if and only if its drift is equal to 0 with \mathbb{P} -probability 1, that is

$$\partial_t f(t, B_t) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx}^2 f(t, B_t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Since the support of the \mathbb{P} -distribution of B_t is \mathbb{R} , we deduce the desired condition. Next, since inequality (0.1) holds, the volatility of $(f(t, B_t))_{t \geq 0}$ is automatically in $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F}^{B, \mathbb{P}}, \mathbb{P})$, which shows the martingale property.

- 2) In this case, inequality (0.1) is obviously satisfied, and direct computations prove that (0.2) holds if and only if $a_{1,0} = a_{1,1} = 0$ when $n = 1$ (the case $n = 0$ is trivial), and when $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{cases} a_{i+1,j} = -\frac{(j+2)(j+1)}{2(i+1)} a_{i,j+2}, & i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, j \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}, \\ a_{n,j+2} = 0, & j \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}, \\ a_{i+1,n-1} = 0, & i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \\ a_{i+1,n} = 0, & i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}. \end{cases}$$

It can then easily be checked that this equivalent to having the $(a_{0,j})_{j \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$ arbitrarily fixed and

$$\begin{cases} a_{i,j} = (-1)^i \frac{(j+2i)!}{2^i i! j!} a_{0,j+2i}, & j+2i \leq n, \\ a_{i,j} = 0, & j+2i > n, \end{cases}$$

Exercise 2

Consider, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the SDE

$$dX_t^x = a(X_t^x)dt + b(X_t^x)dW_t, \quad X_0^x = x,$$

where W is a \mathbb{R}^m -valued Brownian motion, $a : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ are measurable and locally bounded. We fix a non-empty, bounded open subset U of \mathbb{R}^d and assume that for any $x \in U$, we have with $T_U^x := \inf\{s \geq 0 : X_s^x \notin U\}$, that T_U^x is \mathbb{P} -integrable.

Moreover, consider the boundary problem

$$Lu(x) + c(x)u(x) = -f(x), \text{ for } x \in U, \quad u(x) = g(x), \text{ for } x \in \partial U,$$

where $f \in C_b(U)$, $g \in C_b(\partial U)$, $c \leq 0$ is a uniformly bounded function on \mathbb{R}^d , and L is defined by

$$Lf(x) := \sum_{i=1}^d a^i(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^2} (bb^\top)^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(x).$$

Show that if $u \in C^2(U) \cap C(\bar{U})$ is a solution of the above boundary problem and $(X_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$ is a solution of the SDE for some $x \in U$, then

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[g(X_{T_U^x}^x) \exp \left(\int_0^{T_U^x} c(X_s^x) ds \right) \right] + \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[\int_0^{T_U^x} f(X_s^x) \exp \left(\int_0^s c(X_r^x) dr \right) ds \right].$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ large enough so that $\frac{1}{m} < d(x, U^c)$, we define

$$T_m := \inf \{s \geq 0 : d(X_s^x, U^c) \leq 1/m\},$$

and construct $u_m \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ such that $u = u_m$ on $\{z \in U : d(z, U^c) \geq 1/m\}$. We apply Itô's formula to $u_m(X_t^x) \exp(\int_0^t c(X_s^x) ds)$, take then the expectation and use that the local martingale is a true martingale as b is locally bounded and $u \in C_c^2$ to obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[u_m(X_{t \wedge T_m^x}^x) \exp \left(\int_0^{t \wedge T_m^x} c(X_s^x) ds \right) \right] - u_m(x) = \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_m^x} (Lu_m(X_s^x) + c(X_s^x)u_m(X_s^x)) \exp \left(\int_0^s c(X_r^x) dr \right) ds \right].$$

Now, as $u_m = u$ on $\{z \in U : d(z, U^c) \geq \frac{1}{m}\}$, by definition of T_m^x and as u is the solution of the boundary problem, we obtain that

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[u(X_{t \wedge T_m^x}^x) \exp \left(\int_0^{t \wedge T_m^x} c(X_s^x) ds \right) \right] + \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_m^x} f(X_s^x) \exp \left(\int_0^s c(X_r^x) dr \right) ds \right].$$

Since $T_m^x \uparrow T_U^x < \infty$, we can let $t \rightarrow \infty$ and then $m \rightarrow \infty$ to conclude, by the dominated convergence theorem, that

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[g(X_{T_U^x}^x) \exp \left(\int_0^{T_U^x} c(X_s^x) ds \right) \right] + \mathbb{E}^\mathbb{P} \left[\int_0^{T_U^x} f(X_s^x) \exp \left(\int_0^s c(X_r^x) dr \right) ds \right].$$

Exercise 3

Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion.

1) Show that the SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sqrt{1 + X_s^2} dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t X_s ds, \tag{0.3}$$

admits a unique strong solution for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

2) Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\beta_t, \gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ two independent one-dimensional Brownian motions. Show that

$$Y_t := \exp(\beta_t) \left(x + \int_0^t \exp(-\beta_s) d\gamma_s \right), \quad t \geq 0,$$

is well-defined and solves (0.3) for some well-chosen Brownian motion B . Deduce that for $a := \operatorname{argsinh}(x)$,

$$(Y_t, t \geq 0) \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} (\sinh(a + B_t), t \geq 0).$$

3) We now go to a slightly more general setting.

a) Show that if the map $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 diffeomorphism from \mathbb{R} , then $\Phi_t := \varphi(B_t)$ satisfies

$$\Phi_t = \varphi(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(\Phi_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(\Phi_s) ds, \quad (0.4)$$

where

$$\sigma(x) := (\varphi' \circ \varphi^{-1})(x), \quad b(x) := \frac{1}{2}(\varphi'' \circ \varphi^{-1})(x).$$

b) Conversely, if $\sigma, b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz functions with appropriate growth, we know that the SDE (0.4) admits a unique strong solution. Under which conditions on (σ, b) can we solve the system

$$\varphi'(y) = \sigma(\varphi(y)), \quad \varphi''(y) = 2b(\varphi(y)),$$

so that the solution of (0.4) is $\Phi_t = \varphi(B_t)$?

1) **The drift and volatility are clearly Lipschitz-continuous with linear growth, hence the standard Cauchy–Lipschitz theorem applies.**

2) **We have for any $t \geq 0$**

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\int_0^t e^{-2\beta_s} ds \right] = \int_0^t e^{2s} ds < +\infty,$$

ensuring that Y is well-defined. Next, applying Itô's formula to Y , we get

$$dY_t = \left(x + \int_0^t \exp(-\beta_s) d\gamma_s \right) e^{\beta_t} \left(d\beta_t + \frac{1}{2} dt \right) + d\gamma_t = \frac{1}{2} Y_t dt + \sqrt{1 + Y_t^2} \left(\frac{Y_t}{\sqrt{1 + Y_t^2}} d\beta_t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + Y_t^2}} d\gamma_t \right).$$

Now, let $B := \int_0^\cdot \left(\frac{Y_t}{\sqrt{1 + Y_t^2}} d\beta_t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + Y_t^2}} d\gamma_t \right)$. We have

$$[B]_t = t,$$

ensuring by Lévy's characterisation that B is a Brownian motion.

Next, it is direct to check that $X_t := (\sinh(a + B_t))$ is the strong solution to the SDE, which gives the desired result by uniqueness in law.

3)a) **It is a simple application of Itô's formula. Indeed, we have**

$$\Phi_t = \Phi_0 + \int_0^t \varphi'(B_s) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \varphi''(B_s) ds,$$

and it suffices to notice that $B_t = \varphi^{(-1)}(\Phi_t)$, $t \geq 0$.

b) **If we can find φ as a C^2 diffeomorphism satisfying the two ODEs, then clearly $\Phi = \varphi(B)$. Now, it is necessary for this that**

$$\varphi'(y)\sigma'(\varphi(y)) = \sigma(\varphi(y))\sigma'(\varphi(y)) = 2b(\varphi(y)).$$

Hence, φ being a diffeomorphism on \mathbb{R} , this means that we must have

$$\sigma\sigma' = 2b.$$

Under this assumption, and if for instance $\sigma\sigma'$ is Lipschitz-continuous, and σ has a fixed sign, the result will hold.